

# On Noncanonical Number Systems

Christiaan van de Woestijne  
Institut für Mathematik B  
Technische Universität Graz, Austria

Journées de Numération (Zö'tåg') in Graz  
12–16 April 2007

# Definition of number systems

A **canonical number system** is given by

- an algebraic integer  $\alpha$ , the **base**, and
- a complete residue system  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  modulo  $\alpha$ , usually taken as  $\{0, \dots, |\text{Norm}(\alpha)| - 1\}$ , the **digit set**,

with the property that every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  has a finite expansion

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} d_i \alpha^i \quad (d_i \in \mathcal{D}).$$

This definition represents a step in an ongoing chain of generalisations, and is the last one that has a recognisable “number” as a base.

## Definition of number systems (2)

The following generalisation still has all the “structure” that we need to study number systems. We take:

- a finite free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $V$ ;
- a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $\phi : V \rightarrow V$  with nonzero determinant;
- a finite subset  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $V$  that contains a complete residue system of  $V$  modulo its subgroup  $\phi(V)$ .

Note that  $V$  does not have a natural norm; we cannot yet talk about “large” or “small” elements of  $V$ . Later, we will choose a suitable norm.

Note also that we **do not require**  $0 \in \mathcal{D}$ .

# Digits

If the **digit set**  $\mathcal{D}$  is exactly a complete residue system, we call it **irredundant**, otherwise it is **redundant**.

If  $\mathcal{D}$  is irredundant, then for each  $v \in V$ , we write  **$v \bmod_{\mathcal{D}} \phi$** , or simply  **$v \bmod \phi$** , for the unique digit  $d$  such that  $v - d \in \phi(V)$ .

We then define the transformation  $T : V \rightarrow V$  by

$$T(v) = \phi^{-1}(v - (v \bmod \phi)),$$

and the  **$(\phi, \mathcal{D})$ -expansion** of  $v \in V$  by

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} \phi^i(d_i) \quad \text{with} \quad d_i = T^i(v) \bmod \phi.$$

# Periodic and finite expansions

We know: if  $\phi$  is **expanding**, then for all  $v \in V$ , the  $(\phi, \mathcal{D})$ -expansion is **eventually periodic**.

When is  $\sum_{i \geq 0} \phi^i d_i$  a **finite expansion**?

Answer: when  $\sum_{i \geq 0} \phi^i d_i = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \phi^i d_i$ , so  $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \phi^i d_i = 0$  !

If 0 is a digit, this is simple:  $d_i = 0$  for  $i = N, N + 1, \dots$

If 0 is not a digit, and  $\phi$  is expanding, the only way is to have a **zero period**:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \phi^i d_i = 0,$$

and this repeated indefinitely.

# The zero period

Assume  $\mathcal{D}$  is irredundant and  $\phi$  is expanding. Then we saw

$$d_i = T^i(v) \bmod \phi;$$

because expansions are unique, we see that the zero period is unique and is found as the  $(\phi, \mathcal{D})$ -expansion of 0.

Let's see what this means for the transformation  $T$  on  $V$ . The zero period can be represented as

$$0 \rightarrow T(0) = \phi^{-1} [0 - (0 \bmod \phi)] \rightarrow T^2(0) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0.$$

If any nonzero element  $v$  has a finite expansion, then the sequence  $(T^n(v))_{n \geq 0}$  must reach 0, and return there periodically. In particular, 0 must be a purely periodic element under  $T$ .

Conversely: if 0 is not purely periodic, then for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $T^n(0)$  does not have a finite expansion.

## Definition of number systems (3)

Before I forget... let me complete the definition! Let  $\phi$  be expanding. I call a triple  $(V, \phi, \mathcal{D})$  a **number system** if every  $v \in V$  has a **finite  $(\phi, \mathcal{D})$ -expansion**, as defined above.

If  $\mathcal{D}$  is irredundant, the expansion is automatically unique.

It is also interesting to look at **redundant** digit sets:

- simply add all elements that do not have a finite expansion to the digit set (if you can't beat 'em, join 'em)! The digit set **remains finite**;
- add some syntactic or other conditions on the expansions to ensure uniqueness (**Non-Adjacent Form**, etcetera).

## Example

Consider  $V = \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $M$  be an odd integer,  $|M| \geq 2$ . Take  $\phi_M$  to be multiplication by  $M$ . Consider the irredundant digit set

$$\mathcal{D}_M = \{-M + 2, -M + 4, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, M - 2, M\}.$$

I claim that this digit set makes  $(\mathbb{Z}, \phi_M, \mathcal{D}_M)$  into a number system.

We have here  $T(a) = \frac{a - (a \bmod_{\mathcal{D}_M} M)}{M}$ ; it's easy to prove that whenever  $|a| > \frac{M}{M-1}$ , we have  $|T(a)| < |a|$ .

But 1 and  $-1$  are digits, and  $0 \rightarrow \frac{0 - M}{M} = -1 \rightarrow 0$ , so we have a finite zero-period.

We will call these digits the **odd digits** modulo  $M$ .

# The spectral radius

**Theorem.** Assume that the **spectral radius**  $\rho$  of  $\phi^{-1}$  is less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be less than  $\frac{1}{2} - \rho(\phi^{-1})$ , and let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a **norm** on  $V$ , such that the induced operator norm  $\|\phi^{-1}\| < \rho(\phi^{-1}) + \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an irredundant digit set with the property that, for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and all  $v \in V$ ,

$$v \equiv d \pmod{\phi} \Rightarrow \|d\| \leq \|v\|.$$

We call  $\mathcal{D}$  a **set of shortest digits** for the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

Then  $(V, \phi, \mathcal{D})$  is a number system.

(This result was also proved by Germán & Kovács (2007).)

## The spectral radius (2)

**Proof.** We have, for all  $v \in V$ ,

$$\|T(v)\| = \|\phi^{-1}(v - (v \bmod \phi))\| < c \cdot 2\|v\| < \|v\|,$$

where  $c = \rho(\phi^{-1}) + \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , unless  $v = v \bmod \phi$ . It follows that for all  $v \in V$ , the sequence  $T^n(v)$  must reach 0. Q.E.D.

We note that it is possible to construct a **positive definite inner product** on  $V$  such that the induced norm has the required properties. This yields an **effective algorithm** to find a set of shortest digits for a given  $V$  and  $\phi$ .

# Ideal class groups

The following are equivalent:

- $\phi : V \rightarrow V$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -endomorphism;
- $V$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\phi]$ -module.

**Lemma.** Assume that the minimal polynomial and characteristic polynomial of  $\phi$  are equal. Then  $V$  is isomorphic, as a  $\mathbb{Z}[\phi]$ -module, to an **ideal** of  $\mathbb{Z}[\phi]$ .

Under this assumption,  $V$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(P)$ , for a polynomial  $P$ , iff the mentioned ideal is **principal**. Equivalently: if  $\phi$  has matrix  $A$  for some basis of  $V$ , then

$$A = C^{-1}R_PC \quad (R_P \text{ the } \textbf{companion matrix} \text{ of } P)$$

for some unimodular matrix  $C$ .

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem (1)

From now on, we take all  $(V, \phi)$  to be isomorphic, as a  $\mathbb{Z}[\phi]$ -module, to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(P)$ , for some monic polynomial  $P$ .

Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  be coprime monic polynomials. The Chinese Remainder Theorem tells us that

$$\frac{\mathbb{Q}[X]}{(P_1 P_2)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[X]}{(P_1)} \times \frac{\mathbb{Q}[X]}{(P_2)};$$

but what about  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ ?

The sequence  $0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X]}{(P_1 P_2)} \xrightarrow{\psi} \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X]}{(P_1)} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X]}{(P_2)} \rightrightarrows \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X]}{(P_1, P_2)} \rightarrow 0$  is **exact**.

Thus,  $\psi$  is an isomorphism iff  $1 \in (P_1, P_2)$ , iff  **$\text{Res}(P_1, P_2) = \pm 1$** .

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem (2)

What do we want with the CRT? Suppose:

- $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(P_1)$  is a number system with digit set  $\mathcal{D}_1$ ;
- $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(P_2)$  is a number system with digit set  $\mathcal{D}_2$ .

Let  $v \in V = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(P_1 P_2)$ ; we expand

$$v \bmod P_1 = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_i^{(1)} X^i; \quad v \bmod P_2 = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_i^{(2)} X^i.$$

Suppose that for all  $i \geq 0$  we can solve  $\begin{cases} d_i \equiv d_i^{(1)} \pmod{P_1} \\ d_i \equiv d_i^{(2)} \pmod{P_2} \end{cases}$  for  $d_i \in V$ ; then we have an

$$\text{expansion} \quad v = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_i X^i \quad \text{modulo } P_1 P_2!$$

# CRT problems (1)

**Problem 1:** when is  $\begin{cases} d \equiv d^{(1)} \pmod{P_1} \\ d \equiv d^{(2)} \pmod{P_2} \end{cases}$  solvable?

From the exact sequence, we see: iff

$$d^{(1)} \bmod (P_1, P_2) = d^{(2)} \bmod (P_1, P_2).$$

This is satisfied, e.g., if we have  $\text{Res}(P_1, P_2) = \pm 1$ .

But we can also **select the digits** in such a way that the above system is always satisfied!

Note, by the way, that  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(P_1, P_2)$  is a **finite ring**, as we assume  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  to be coprime.

## Example

Let  $P_1 = X - 5$  and  $P_2 = X - 7$ , and let's try the **canonical digits** on both sides.

Now suppose we have  $d^{(1)} = 0$  and  $d^{(2)} = 1$ . Can we “merge”?

CRT:  $d = \frac{1}{2}(X - 5) \pmod{(X - 5)(X - 7)}$ . That's not integral!

And indeed, we have  $|\text{Res}(X - 5, X - 7)| = 2$ .

Better idea: let **all digits be pairwise congruent modulo 2**. As we saw above, we can take

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \{-3, -1, 1, 3, 5\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = \{-5, -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, 7\}.$$

Trick question: why can't we take all digits even (so 0 could be a digit)?

## CRT problems (2)

**Problem 2:** if

$$v \bmod P_1 = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_i^{(1)} X^i \quad \text{and} \quad v \bmod P_2 = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_i^{(2)} X^i$$

are both finite, and we can “merge”, is the merged expansion  $v = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_i X^i$  again finite?

In other words, is there  $N$  with  $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} d_i X^i = v$ ?

This is a **difficult question**. We restrict to the case where at least one of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  is **linear**.

## Phasing in

Assume  $P_1 = X - p_0$ , and let  $r = \text{Res}(P_1, P_2) = P_2(p_0)$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(P_1, P_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(r)$ . Now, assume all digits are pairwise congruent modulo  $r$ .

**Lemma.** We have  $X \equiv 1 \pmod{(P_1, P_2)}$ . In other words, we must have  $p_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $v \in \mathbb{Z}[X]/(P_1 P_2)$ . The lengths of any finite expansions for  $v$  “on the left” and “on the right” are congruent modulo  $r$ .

**Lemma.** For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $L_i$  be the length of the zero period for  $\mathcal{D}_i$  modulo  $P_i$ . Then  $L_1 \equiv L_2 \pmod{r}$ .

# Theorem

Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be monic polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , with  $P_1$  linear, and let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  be digit sets such that  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(P_1)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(P_2)$  become number systems. Put  $r = \text{Res}(P_1, P_2)$ , and assume  $r \neq 0$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $L_i$  be the length of the zero period for  $\mathcal{D}_i$  modulo  $P_i$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- all elements of  $\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2$  are pairwise congruent modulo  $r$ , and  $\gcd(L_1, L_2) = |r|$ ;
- $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(P_1 P_2)$  becomes a number system with digit set

$$\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2).$$

**Note:** if all assumptions are satisfied, it follows that

$$P_1(0) \equiv -1 \pmod{r},$$

independently of the chosen digit sets.

## Example (continued)

Still, let  $P_1 = X - 5$  and  $P_2 = X - 7$ , with the given digits. They are all congruent to 1 modulo 2.

The zero periods of both are  $0 \rightarrow -1$ , of length 2.

It follows that  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/((X - 5)(X - 7))$  becomes a number system with the digits  $\{1, -1, 3, -3, 5, X, X - 2, -X + 2, X - 4, X - 6, -X + 6, X - 8, -X + 8, -X + 10, 2X - 7, 2X - 9, -2X + 9, 2X - 11, -2X + 11, 2X - 13, -2X + 13, -2X + 15, 3X - 14, 3X - 16, -3X + 16, -3X + 18, 3X - 18, -3X + 20, 4X - 21, 4X - 23, -4X + 23, -4X + 25, 5X - 28, -5X + 30\}$ .

It also works with the digit sets  $\{505, 1, -1, 3, -3\}$  at base 5 and  $\{777, 1, -1, 3, -3, 5, -5\}$  at base 7. The corresponding zero periods have length 10 and 4, respectively.